

Therriault, John

From: McCambridge, Michael
Sent: Thursday, April 24, 2014 10:31 AM
To: Therriault, John
Subject: FW: most recently adopted 611

Please enter this e-mail into docket R14-9 as correspondence with JCAR.

From: McCambridge, Michael
Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2014 6:28 PM
To: 'Connelly, Deborah'
Cc: Burke, Jennifer; Robertson, Daniel; Miller, Nancy; Powell, Mark
Subject: RE: most recently adopted 611

I am glad you had a good vacation. Did it help you relax knowing that you were gone when the medical marijuana rules arrived at JCAR?

You know how I love the cold and how I believe that the heat will kill me one day. I would rather have gone a bit farther south. Winter is coming to Antarctica.

Sorry about the text errors. You are right on that conjunction. It appears to have done a "cat came back." I note also that the periods returned to subsections (c)(1)(C)(v) and (c)(1)(C)(vi).

Looking at the versions of the text that I have going all the way back to the original proposal, it appears that those R14-8 amendments were never included in the text of R14-9. I tried hard to include the completed R14-8 amendments in docket R14-9, in order to avoid exactly what happened. My best efforts fell short.

I have examined the entire text of the three provisions common between R14-8 and R14-9: Sections 611.381, 611.532, and 611.645. I have found the following omissions (including what you have pointed out):

- 611.381(b)(1)(C)(ii) inclusion of the comma that was deleted after "(rev 2.0)"
- 611.381(c)(1)(A)(iii) inclusion of the ending conjunction "or" that was deleted
- 611.381(c)(1)(A)(iv) inclusion of the ending period that was changed to a semicolon and omission of the semicolon
- 611.381(c)(1)(A)(v) inclusion of the ending period that was changed to a semicolon and omission of the semicolon
- 611.381(c)(1)(A)(vi) inclusion of the ending period that was changed to a semicolon and omission of the semicolon and omission of the ending conjunction "or"
- 611.381(c)(1)(C)(iv) inclusion of the ending conjunction "or" that was deleted
- 611.381(c)(1)(C)(v) inclusion of the ending period that was changed to a semicolon and omission of the semicolon
- 611.381(c)(1)(C)(vi) inclusion of the ending period that was changed to a semicolon and omission of the semicolon and omission of the ending conjunction "or"

I have marked the errors for future correction in the text that I will submit to the database used for future amendments. I will include the corrections in the next identical-in-substance update to the drinking water rules.

I will request Board docket number R15-6 for the next drinking water update proceeding. USEPA amendments dated February 26, 2014 are already included in that update. Those amendments will confer a due date of February 26, 2015. The Board can begin work on the R15-6 proposal for public comment this summer and possibly propose amendments as early as the beginning of September or as late as October. Adoption could occur as early as December or as late as February.

Will this be sufficient?

From: Connelly, Deborah [mailto:connelly@ilga.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 23, 2014 3:50 PM
To: McCambridge, Michael
Subject: most recently adopted 611

Mike:

It seems that this version of 611 left out some or most of the changes made by the intervening rulemaking e.g., 381(c)(1)(C(iv) the previously stricken “or” is retained, the newly added “or” after vi is not shown. Do your new Code pages reflect the intervening (R14-8)?

Dc

Puerto Rico was great!